

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 LEROY WILLIAMS, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 EXPERIAN INFORMATION
15 SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,

16 Defendants.

17 CASE NO. C22-5840 MJP

18 ORDER DENYING JOINT
19 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
20 ORDER

21 This matter comes before the Court on the Parties' "Joint Stipulated Protective Order"
22 (Proposed Order) (Dkt. No. 46.) Having reviewed the Proposed Order and all supporting
23 materials, the Court DENIES entry of the Proposed Order without prejudice.

24 The Court appreciates that the Parties have submitted a proposed protective order that
largely tracks the District's Model Protective Order, but it contains one provision that is both
redundant and overbroad. Specifically, the Parties add language after Section 4.2(g) that
proposes to enjoin any person, whether or not they are a party or participant in the case, to the
terms of the Proposed Order without any notice of the Proposed Order. The Model Protective

1 Order's acknowledgement form already provides a mechanism through which the Parties may
2 provide confidential materials to non- and third-parties without waiving any protections. Indeed,
3 the Parties here have included the acknowledgement in their Proposed Order. (Dkt. No. 46 at
4 13.) This renders the language the Parties proposed after Section 4.2(g) unnecessary, and the
5 Court finds that it is overbroad as drafted. The Court therefore DENIES the Proposed Order, but
6 will consider entry of a revision to the Proposed Order that omits the additional language the
7 Parties added after Section 4.2(g).

8 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

9 Dated September 29, 2023.



10
11 Marsha J. Pechman
12 United States Senior District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24